SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. S-237-87

AN ORDINANCE approving Change Order No. 1 and FINAL, Res. 184-87, Corwin Lane Street Lighting, with Weikel Line Company, Inc., in connection with the Board of Public Works and Safety of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA:

SECTION 1. That Change Order No. 1 and FINAL, Res. 184-87, Corwin Lane Street Lighting, with Weikel Line Company, Inc., in connection with the Board of Public Works and Safety, for:

this Change Order is necessary because prudent construction and/or engineering procedures mandated more conduit than planned. After the field work and before construction, new drive approaches were installed. New trees were planted and one new planter was created;

involving a net increase of Eighty-Four and 63/100 Dollars (\$84.63), all as more particularly set forth in the specifications, and which is on file with the Office of the Board of Public Works and Safety and is by reference incorporated herein, made a part hereof, and is hereby in all things ratified, confirmed and approved.

SECTION 2. That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and any and all necessary approval by the Mayor.

Councilmember

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY

Bruce O. Boxberger, City Attorney

CHANGE ORDER

Proje	ct No.			Board Order N	184-87
Name	of Project_	Corwin Lane Street Lighting		Date 8/5/87	
To:	Weikel Line	Co. Inc.			
No.		(Contractor)			
Gent1	emen:				
You as	re hereby re	equested to comply with the follow:	ing changes f	rom the contr	act plane
	pecification				acc plans
				61	
Item No.	Quantity	Description of Change Description	Unit Price	Decrease	ontract Price Increase
3	119.0 LFT	Trench in earth 20" deep	0.88	104.72	0.00
4	63.0 LFT	Push under street, drived, etc.	4.45	0.00	280.35
5	171.0 LFT	2/C#6 Copper wire in trench & conduit	0.35	59.85	0.00
6	89.0 LFT	Grade, seed & mulch	0.35	31.15	0.00
		Total	Decrease	195.72	0.00
		Total	Increase	0.00	280.35
		Net (Negrasse) (Increase) Contr	act Price	0.00	84.63
	Original Current	ntract price shall be adjusted as f Contract Price Contract Price Adjusted by Previous	collows: us Change Orde	\$ 7215.43	contract price
of all	lme provided (XXXXX) by L work shall	for completion in the contract for calendar days due to this be $\frac{8/4/87}{\text{contract}}$ Further contract and all provisions of the	or this projects Change Ordermore, this co	t is (unchanger. The date	for completion become an
Recomm	nended by:	Fin A. Waven ASSESS Des	_{ign} Engineer)	Date 8/6/	187
Accept	ed by:	leven f. Herl	(Contractor)	Date 8/6/	187
Approv	red by:	fly Mi (c	ity Engineer)	Date 8-	7-87
Approv	ed by	ANDERN	Owner)	Date 8-	12-87
		Ketu K. MU			
			•	1-2	
Attest	ed by:	Felen (), Handergen	(Clerk)	Date 8-1	2-87

REQUEST AND JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE essity for change: Due to construction of drives, planters, and the constructed after blueprints were drawn but before insect lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned.	planters, and trees before installation o han planned. X No intenance cost could b
essity for change: Due to construction of drives, planters, and the dor constructed after blueprints were drawn but before instructed lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned to the proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Notes, explain only the monitary value is affected. Sect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: There is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of the size if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging the size if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging the size in the size of the project is needed by virture of less digging the size if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging the size of the project is needed by virture of less digging the	planters, and trees before installation o han planned. X No intenance cost could b
reet lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned reet lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned reet lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned reet lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned reet lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned reet lights. Thus the requirement of more conduit than planned reet lights. Thus the requirement of the project? Yes X Yes, explain only the monitary value is affected. The rect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: The rect is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance costs if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging.	before installation on than planned. X No intenance cost could be
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes_XNes, explain Only the monitary value is affected. Sect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of the sect of the project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of the sect of the project:	X No
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Notes, explain Only the monitary value is affected. Sect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of the project is a significant cost of the project.	X No
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
proposed change alter size of the project? Yes X Nees, explain Only the monitary value is affected. ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: Here is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance cost if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	ect: intenance cost could b
ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: where is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance costs if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	intenance cost could be
ect on operation and maintenance cost of this project: dere is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of the second series of the second series are series as if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	intenance cost could be
ere is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of ess if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	intenance cost could be
ere is no affect on operational cost. Long term maintenance of ess if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	intenance cost could be
ess if underground repair is needed by virture of less digging	
	ss digging is required
those specific areas.	

Jim Riemke

Construction Engineer

Change Order No. 1 & Final

seconded by the first time in full and on motion by Redd
by title and referred to the Committee con Melder read the second time
Plan Commission for recommendation) and Public Hearing to be held after Indiana, on, the
the day of
DATE: 8 25-87 Sandra & Sennedys
SANDRA E. KENNEDY, CITY CLERK
Read the third time in full and on motion by
passage. PASSED (LOST) by the following vote:
AYES ' NAYS ABSTAINED ABSENT TO-WIT:
TOTAL VOTES 9
BRADBURY
BURNS
EISBART
GiaQUINTA
HENRY
REDD · U
REDD C SCHMIDT C
STIER
TALARICO .
DATE: 9-8-87 Sandra & Lennedy
Passed and adopted by the Common Comm
Passed and adopted by the Common Council of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, as (ANNEXATION) (APPROPRIATION) (CENERAL)
(SPECIAL) (ZONING MAP) ORDINANCE (RESOLUTION) NO. 237-87
on the
on the SA day of Sylenke , 19 87. ATTEST:
SANDRA E. KENNEDY, CITY CLERK PRESIDING OFFICER
PRESIDING OFFICER
Presented by me to the Mayor of the city of Fort Wayne, Indiana,
on the 9th day of September, 1987.
at the hour of 11:00 o'clock A. M., E.S.T.
SANDER F. Lennedy
DANDRA E. KENNEDY CTRY CTRY
Approved and signed by me this With days of
19 87, at the hour of good o'clock A.M., E.S.T.
WIN MOSES, JR. MAYOR

		Admn. App	or.
TITLE OF	ORDINANCE	Change Order #1 and FINAL, Res. 184-87,	Corwin Lane Ltg.
DEPARTMEN	IT REQUESTING	ORDINANCE Board of Public Works & Safe	ty
SYNOPSIS	OF ORDINANCE	Change Order #1 and Final for Res. 18	4-87, Corwin Lane
	Street Lig	hting is necessary because prudent constr	uction and/or
163	engineerin	g procedures mandated more conduit than p	lanned. After
	the field	work and before construction, new drive a	pproaches were
	installed.	New trees were planted and one new plan-	ter was created.
	Weikel Line	e Company, Inc., is the contractor	
		1-87-6	9-28
EFFECT OF	PASSAGE	To facilitate completion of project.	
2.120, 0.	771007102		
			in Protestant Constitution
EFFECT OF	NON-PASSAGE		
MONEY INV	OLVED (DIRECT	COSTS, EXPENDITURE, SAVINGS)Increase of \$8	34.63
ASSIGNED	TO COMMITTEE		

WE, YOUR COMMITTEE ON	CITY UTILITIES	TO WHOM W
REFERRED AN (ORDINANCE	e) (Kesolution)	approving Change Order
No. 1 and FINAL R	es. 184-87, Corwin	Line Company, Inc., in
connection with th	e Board of Public Wo	rks and Safety of the
City of Fort Wayne	, Indiana	
	* *	DER CONSIDERATION AND BEG THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	* *	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	* *	
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	CHARLES B. REDD	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	THE COMMON COUNCIL	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	CHARLES B. REDD	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	CHARLES B. REDD CHAIRMAN PAUL M. BURNS	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	CHARLES B. REDD CHAIRMAN PAUL M. BURNS VICE CHAIRMAN	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)
EAVE TO REPORT BACK TO	CHARLES B. REDD CHAIRMAN PAUL M. BURNS VICE CHAIRMAN THOMAS C. HENRY	THAT SAID (ORDINANCE)